

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,573	04/10/2001	Ryuichiro Hisamatsu	450100-03152	7755
20999 7	590 03/30/2004		EXAM	INER
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
NEW YORK,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/829,573	HISAMATSU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pierre E. Elisca	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	o timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Serial Number: 09/829,573 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant, Response, filed on 1/15/2004.

2. Claims 1-22 are pending.

3. The rejection to claims 1-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Pargee, Jr in view of Stern as set forth in the Office action mailed on 10/15/2003 is maintained. See below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pargee, Jr. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,422,093) in view of Stern (U.S. Pat. No. 6,591,247).

As per claims 1, 3-6, 8, and 10-22, Pargee substantially discloses a virtual service that employs the full facilities of a satellite television communication channel, comprising the steps of:

Serial Number: 09/829,573

Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

obtaining information about earnings and expenses based on expenses incurred by content providers (content providers or satellite television broadcasting, cable television) supplying users with recording devices compatible with contents offered by the providers (see., abstract, col 3, lines 15-30, col 6, lines 18-38). Pargee fails to explicitly discloses advertisements and fees included in the contents. However, Stern discloses an advertising and payment method/system to disseminate information concerning multiple products. The advertisements information is associated with various products or contents (see., abstract, col 1, lines 37-67, col 3, lines 12-32, col 4, lines 46-67, it is obvious to recognize that satellite or cable broadcastings provide earnings for service provide to consumers). Therefore, it would have bee obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the television burst service of Pargee by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Stern because this would advertise consumers in the television industry while ensuring that consumers is ready to perceive the message content of the advertisement.

As per claims 2, and 9, Pargee discloses the claimed method wherein said services constitute electronic commerce practiced by virtual shops (see., abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that a virtual service that employs the full facilities of a television communication channel on an intermittent basis, col 3, lines 3, lines 19-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,573

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 7, Pargee discloses the claim method wherein said recording devices each include a hard disc drive (fig 4, col 7, lines 17-50).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/15/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

REMARKS

- 7. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the prior art of record Pargee 093" and Stern 247" singularly or in combination fail to anticipate or render obvious the recited feature:
- a. "a managerial method and system, as instantly claimed, wherein, for example, information about earnings and expenses incurred by content providers are obtained based upon the providers, expenses for supplying users ...". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees since Pargee discloses a managerial method/system for transmitting images via television broadcasting, cable television, satellite broadcast or beam radio wave relay see., col 3, lines 15-30. B "advertisements and fees included in the contents". However, Stern discloses an advertising and payment method/system to disseminate information concerning multiple products. The advertisements information is associated with various products or contents (see., abstract, col 1, lines 37-67, col 3, lines 12-32, col 4, lines 46-67, it is obvious to recognize that satellite or cable broadcastings provide earnings for service provide to consumers). Therefore, it would have bee

Application/Control Number: 09/829,573

Art Unit: 3621

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the television burst service of Pargee by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Stern because this would advertise consumers in the television industry while ensuring that consumers is ready to perceive the message content of the advertisement.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The

Application/Control Number: 09/829,573

Art Unit: 3621

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fllue | fhllo Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

March 25, 2004